

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/438,515	11/12/1999	YASUHIRO TABATA	0557-4730-2-	9469	
22850	2590 03/27/2003				
•	VAK, MCCLELLAN	EXAMINER			
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			WALLERSON, MARK E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/438,515

Applicant(s)

Tabata

Examiner

Mark Wallerson

Art Unit 2622



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address
	for Reply			_
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE	3	_ MONTH(S) FROM
- Extensi	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r g date of this communication.	no event, however, ma	₃y a reply b	ne timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	and will expire SIX (6) A ne application to becom	MONTHS fro ne ABANDO	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
earned	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 12, 20	003		·
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This acti	ion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par			
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>18-45</u>			is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 18-45			is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.
8) 🗌	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.
	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepted](d no t	\sqsupset objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	rawing(s) be held	d in abev	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗆 a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	to this Office act	ion.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.		•
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 💢	(All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	J.	
;	2. X Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	J in App	lication No08/786,643
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	- -
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	35 U.S.(C. § 119(e).
a) [
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	\$5 U.S.C	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachmo		4)	IDT () 412) David Na/a)
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) stice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_		0-413) Paper No(s) t Application (PTO-152)
	iomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:	man ratorit	Application (110-102)
_		_		

Art Unit: 2622

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendment filed on 3/12/2003.
- 2. This application has been reconsidered. Claims 18-45 are pending.

SECTION 1

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 18 -33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kageyama (U. S. 5,625,757) in view of Webb (U. S. 5,727,135).

With respect to claims 18 and 26, Kageyama discloses a network control system comprising a computer network (figure 1); a plurality of image forming apparatuses (17 and 18) connected to the computer network (10), each image forming apparatus configured to record an image on a recording paper; a server (14, 15, or 16) connected to the network and configured to

store information items of the plurality of image forming apparatuses (column 16, lines 54-67); a computer (11, 12, or 13) connected to the network, comprising a computer display (figure 3) configured to display the information items stored in the server (column 16, line 54 to column 17, line 8), and an input device (keyboard) configured to input data into the computer, the computer configured to select the image forming apparatus for recording the image by an operator selecting the information items displayed (column 16, line 54 to column 17, line 8).

Kageyama differs from claims 18 and 26 in that he does not clearly disclose storing a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network.

Webb discloses a print system that allows a user to access a functional replica of the operational panel of a printer available to a host (column 3, lines 56-60), wherein a Printer Panel software program (51) stored within the program memory of the host (11) generates a replica of a printer control panel (column 7, lines 19-59) (which clearly indicates that the replica is stored within the host). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Kageyama to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Kageyama by the teaching of Webb in order to allow the user to monitor the status of multiple printers at the same time from the host display as disclosed by Webb in column 4, line 66 to column 5, line 5).

With respect to claims 19 and 27, Kageyama discloses the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses (column 29, lines 15-24).

With regard to claims 20, 21, 28, and 29, Kageyama discloses the information items include machine model, presence of specification data and existence of malfunctions in the image forming apparatus (column 16, line 54 to column 17, line 24).

With respect to claims 22-25 and 30-33, Kageyama discloses storing the layout of an operation panel for each image forming apparatus (column 34, line 66 to column 35, line 8).

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 31, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugishima (U. S. 5,768,516) in view of Webb.

With respect to claims 18 and 26, Sugishima discloses a network control system comprising a computer network (figure 1); a plurality of image forming apparatuses (11, 13, and 15) connected to the computer network (16), each image forming apparatus configured to record an image on a recording paper (column 3, lines 12-18); a server (which reads on a system management apparatus) (10) connected to the network and configured to store information items of the plurality of image forming apparatuses (column 4, lines 9-12); a computer (12) connected

to the network, comprising a computer display (figure 1) configured to display the information items stored in the server (column 4, lines 9-33), and an input device (keyboard) configured to input data into the computer, the computer configured to select the image forming apparatus for recording the image by an operator selecting the information items displayed (column 4, lines 12-17).

Sugishima differs from claims 18 and 26 in that he does not clearly disclose storing a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network.

Webb discloses a print system that allows a user to access a functional replica of the operational panel of a printer available to a host (column 3, lines 56-60), wherein a Printer Panel software program (51) stored within the program memory of the host (11) generates a replica of a printer control panel (column 7, lines 19-59) (which clearly indicates that the replica is stored within the host). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima by the teaching of Webb in order to allow the user to monitor the status of multiple printers at the same time from the host display as disclosed by Webb in column 4, line 66 to column 5, line 5).

Art Unit: 2622

With regard to claims 20 and 28, Sugishima discloses the information items include machine model, presence of specification data and existence of malfunctions in the image forming apparatus (column 8, lines 22-37).

With respect to claims 22, 24, 30, and 32, Sugishima differs from claims 22, 24, 30, and 32 in that he does not clearly disclose storing the layout of an operation panel for each image forming apparatus.

Webb discloses a communication system wherein a remote user is able to view the operation panel of remote printers (the abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima to display the operation panel of the image forming apparatuses. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima by the teaching of Webb in order to easily provide status of the printers to the host.

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugishima in view of Webb as applied to claims 18 and 26 above, and further in view of Ooki (U. S. 5,991,846).

With respect to claims 19 and 27, Sugishima as modified differs from claims 19 and 27 in that he does not clearly disclose the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses.

Ooki discloses an information processing system wherein information pertaining to the location of printers is stored in a server (102) and displayed to a user (column 7, lines 4-16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima as modified wherein the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima as modified by the teaching of Ooki in order to allow the user to easily select a preferable printer as discloses by Ooki in column 1, lines 55-58.

With regard to claims 21 and 29, Sugishima discloses the information items include machine model, presence of specification data and existence of malfunctions in the image forming apparatus (column 8, lines 22-37).

With respect to claims 23, 25, 31 and 33, Sugishima differs from claims 23, 25, 31, and 33 in that he does not clearly disclose storing the layout of an operation panel for each image forming apparatus.

Webb discloses a communication system wherein a remote user is able to view the operation panel of remote printers (the abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima to display the

Art Unit: 2622

operation panel of the image forming apparatuses. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima by the teaching of Webb in order to easily provide status of the printers to the host.

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 34-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kageyama in view of Webb.

With regard to claims 34, 37, 40, and 43, Kageyama discloses a server (300) connected to a network (10) having a client computer (11) and a plurality of image forming apparatuses (1), comprising storing means (7200) for storing information items associated with the image inherent to each of the image forming apparatuses; receiving means for receiving requirements for image forming from the client (column 16, lines 56-59); means for performing a search for image forming apparatuses satisfying the requirements from among the plural image forming apparatuses and researching the search to the client (column 16, lines 56-62); receiving a user selection from the client for selecting one image forming apparatus based on the result (column 16, lines 61-64), and transmitting to the client information items associated with image forming inherent to the selected image forming apparatus (column 24, lines 16-35); receiving from the client user

Art Unit: 2622

instructions corresponding to the information items associated with the selected image forming apparatus (column 34, lines 36-48), and sending an image forming execution instruction to the image forming apparatus (column 24, line 49 to column 25, line 10).

Kageyama differs from claims 34, 37, 40, and 43 in that he does not clearly disclose storing a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network.

Webb discloses a print system that allows a user to access a functional replica of the operational panel of a printer available to a host (column 3, lines 56-60), wherein a Printer Panel software program (51) stored within the program memory of the host (11) generates a replica of a printer control panel (column 7, lines 19-59) (which clearly indicates that the replica is stored within the host). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Kageyama to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Kageyama by the teaching of Webb in order to allow the user to monitor the status of multiple printers at the same time from the host display as disclosed by Webb in column 4, line 66 to column 5, line 5).

With respect to claims 35, 38, 41, and 44, Kageyama discloses including an installation location of the image forming apparatuses in the search result (column 23, lines 1-6 and column 29, lines 15-20).

Art Unit: 2622

With respect to claims 36, 39, 42, and 45, Kageyama discloses reporting the results of the image forming apparatuses partly satisfying the requirements in an order of decreasing number of information items satisfying the requirements (which reads on displaying the printers in accordance with the registration order) (column 22, line 59 to column 23, line 6, column 24, lines 26-35).

11. Claims 34, 36, 37, 39, 40, 42, 43, and 45 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugishima in view of Webb.

With regard to claims 34, 37, 40, and 43, Sugishima discloses a server (network management apparatus) connected to a network (16) having a client computer (12) and a plurality of image forming apparatuses (13 and 15), comprising storing means (figure 4) for storing information items associated with the image inherent to each of the image forming apparatuses; receiving means for receiving requirements for image forming from the client (column 1, lines 39-43); means for performing a search for image forming apparatuses satisfying the requirements from among the plural image forming apparatuses and researching the search to the client (column 1, lines 45-49); receiving a user selection from the client for selecting one image forming apparatus based on the result (column 4, lines 1-33), and transmitting to the client information items associated with image forming inherent to the selected image forming apparatus (column 4, lines 12-17); receiving from the client user instructions corresponding to the information items

Art Unit: 2622

associated with the selected image forming apparatus (column 8, lines 12-37), and sending an image forming execution instruction to the image forming apparatus (column 8, lines 12-37).

Sugishima differs from claims 34, 37, 40, and 43 in that he does not clearly disclose storing a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network.

Webb discloses a print system that allows a user to access a functional replica of the operational panel of a printer available to a host (column 3, lines 56-60), wherein a Printer Panel software program (51) stored within the program memory of the host (11) generates a replica of a printer control panel (column 7, lines 19-59) (which clearly indicates that the replica is stored within the host). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima by the teaching of Webb in order to allow the user to monitor the status of multiple printers at the same time from the host display as disclosed by Webb in column 4, line 66 to column 5, line 5).

With respect to claims 36, 39, 42, and 45, Sugishima discloses reporting the results of the image forming apparatuses partly satisfying the requirements in an order of decreasing number of information items satisfying the requirements (figure 4).

Art Unit: 2622

12. Claims 35, 38, 41, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugishima in view of Webb as applied to claims 34, 37, 40, and 43 above, and further in view of Ooki (U. S. 5,991,846).

With respect to claims 35, 38, 41, and 44, Sugishima as modified differs from claims 35, 38, 41, and 44 in that he does not clearly disclose the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses.

Ooki discloses an information processing system wherein information pertaining to the location of printers is stored in a server (102) and displayed to a user (column 7, lines 4-16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima as modified wherein the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima as modified by the teaching of Ooki in order to allow the user to easily select a preferable printer as discloses by Ooki in column 1, lines 55-58.

SECTION 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 13

Application/Control Number: 09/438,515

Art Unit: 2622

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

14. Claims 18 -33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kageyama (U. S. 5,625,757) in view of Mitsuhashi et al (Mitsuhashi) (U. S. 6,269,905).

With respect to claims 18 and 26, Kageyama discloses a network control system comprising a computer network (figure 1); a plurality of image forming apparatuses (17 and 18) connected to the computer network (10), each image forming apparatus configured to record an image on a recording paper; a server (14, 15, or 16) connected to the network and configured to store information items of the plurality of image forming apparatuses (column 16, lines 54-67); a computer (11, 12, or 13) connected to the network, comprising a computer display (figure 3) configured to display the information items stored in the server (column 16, line 54 to column 17, line 8), and an input device (keyboard) configured to input data into the computer, the computer configured to select the image forming apparatus for recording the image by an operator selecting the information items displayed (column 16, line 54 to column 17, line 8).

Kageyama differs from claims 18 and 26 in that he does not clearly disclose storing a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network.

Mitsuhashi discloses an information processing apparatus wherein a host (100) stores the virtual panel image of a printer (1500) and controls the setting of the operational display of the printer (column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 49). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2622

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Kageyama to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Kageyama by the teaching of Mitsuhashi in order to allow the user to easily monitor and display the printer panel settings on the host as disclosed by Mitsuhashi in column 2, lines 42-54.

With respect to claims 19 and 27, Kageyama discloses the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses (column 29, lines 15-24).

With regard to claims 20, 21, 28, and 29, Kageyama discloses the information items include machine model, presence of specification data and existence of malfunctions in the image forming apparatus (column 16, line 54 to column 17, line 24).

With respect to claims 22-25 and 30-33, Kageyama discloses storing the layout of an operation panel for each image forming apparatus (column 34, line 66 to column 35, line 8).

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. Claims 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 31, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugishima (U. S. 5,768,516) in view of Mitsuhashi.

Art Unit: 2622

With respect to claims 18 and 26, Sugishima discloses a network control system comprising a computer network (figure 1); a plurality of image forming apparatuses (11, 13, and 15) connected to the computer network (16), each image forming apparatus configured to record an image on a recording paper (column 3, lines 12-18); a server (which reads on a system management apparatus) (10) connected to the network and configured to store information items of the plurality of image forming apparatuses (column 4, lines 9-12); a computer (12) connected to the network, comprising a computer display (figure 1) configured to display the information items stored in the server (column 4, lines 9-33), and an input device (keyboard) configured to input data into the computer, the computer configured to select the image forming apparatus for recording the image by an operator selecting the information items displayed (column 4, lines 12-17).

Sugishima differs from claims 18 and 26 in that he does not clearly disclose storing a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network.

Mitsuhashi discloses an information processing apparatus wherein a host (100) stores the virtual panel image of a printer (1500) and controls the setting of the operational display of the printer (column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 49). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Art Unit: 2622

the invention to have modified Sugishima by the teaching of Mitsuhashi in order to allow the user to easily monitor and display the printer panel settings on the host as disclosed by Mitsuhashi in column 2, lines 42-54.

With regard to claims 20 and 28, Sugishima discloses the information items include machine model, presence of specification data and existence of malfunctions in the image forming apparatus (column 8, lines 22-37).

With respect to claims 22, 24, 30, and 32, Sugishima differs from claims 22, 24, 30, and 32 in that he does not clearly disclose storing the layout of an operation panel for each image forming apparatus.

Mitsuhashi discloses an information processing apparatus wherein a host (100) stores the virtual panel image of a printer (1500) and controls the setting of the operational display of the printer (column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 49). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima by the teaching of Mitsuhashi in order to allow the user to easily monitor and display the printer panel settings on the host as disclosed by Mitsuhashi in column 2, lines 42-54.

Art Unit: 2622

17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 18. Claim 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugishima in view of Mitsuhashi as applied to claims 18 and 26 above, and further in view of Ooki (U. S. 5,991,846).

With respect to claims 19 and 27, Sugishima as modified differs from claims 19 and 27 in that he does not clearly disclose the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses.

Ooki discloses an information processing system wherein information pertaining to the location of printers is stored in a server (102) and displayed to a user (column 7, lines 4-16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima as modified wherein the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima as modified by the teaching of Ooki in order to allow the user to easily select a preferable printer as discloses by Ooki in column 1, lines 55-58.

Art Unit: 2622

With regard to claims 21 and 29, Sugishima discloses the information items include machine model, presence of specification data and existence of malfunctions in the image forming apparatus (column 8, lines 22-37).

With respect to claims 23, 25, 31 and 33, Sugishima differs from claims 23, 25, 31, and 33 in that he does not clearly disclose storing the layout of an operation panel for each image forming apparatus.

Mitsuhashi discloses an information processing apparatus wherein a host (100) stores the virtual panel image of a printer (1500) and controls the setting of the operational display of the printer (column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 49). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima by the teaching of Mitsuhashi in order to allow the user to easily monitor and display the printer panel settings on the host as disclosed by Mitsuhashi in column 2, lines 42-54.

- 19. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2622

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

20. Claims 34-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kageyama in view of Mitsuhashi.

With regard to claims 34, 37, 40, and 43, Kageyama discloses a server (300) connected to a network (10) having a client computer (11) and a plurality of image forming apparatuses (1), comprising storing means (7200) for storing information items associated with the image inherent to each of the image forming apparatuses; receiving means for receiving requirements for image forming from the client (column 16, lines 56-59); means for performing a search for image forming apparatuses satisfying the requirements from among the plural image forming apparatuses and researching the search to the client (column 16, lines 56-62); receiving a user selection from the client for selecting one image forming apparatus based on the result (column 16, lines 61-64), and transmitting to the client information items associated with image forming inherent to the selected image forming apparatus (column 24, lines 16-35); receiving from the client user instructions corresponding to the information items associated with the selected image forming apparatus (column 34, lines 36-48), and sending an image forming execution instruction to the image forming apparatus (column 24, line 49 to column 25, line 10).

Kageyama differs from claims 34, 37, 40, and 43 in that he does not clearly disclose storing a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network.

Art Unit: 2622

Mitsuhashi discloses an information processing apparatus wherein a host (100) stores the virtual panel image of a printer (1500) and controls the setting of the operational display of the printer (column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 49). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Kageyama to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Kageyama by the teaching of Mitsuhashi in order to allow the user to easily monitor and display the printer panel settings on the host as disclosed by Mitsuhashi in column 2, lines 42-54.

With respect to claims 35, 38, 41, and 44, Kageyama discloses including an installation location of the image forming apparatuses in the search result (column 23, lines 1-6 and column 29, lines 15-20).

With respect to claims 36, 39, 42, and 45, Kageyama discloses reporting the results of the image forming apparatuses partly satisfying the requirements in an order of decreasing number of information items satisfying the requirements (which reads on displaying the printers in accordance with the registration order) (column 22, line 59 to column 23, line 6, column 24, lines 26-35).

Art Unit: 2622

21. Claims 34, 36, 37, 39, 40, 42, 43, and 45 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugishima in view of Mitsuhashi.

With regard to claims 34, 37, 40, and 43, Sugishima discloses a server (network management apparatus) connected to a network (16) having a client computer (12) and a plurality of image forming apparatuses (13 and 15), comprising storing means (figure 4) for storing information items associated with the image inherent to each of the image forming apparatuses; receiving means for receiving requirements for image forming from the client (column 1, lines 39-43); means for performing a search for image forming apparatuses satisfying the requirements from among the plural image forming apparatuses and researching the search to the client (column 1, lines 45-49); receiving a user selection from the client for selecting one image forming apparatus based on the result (column 4, lines 1-33), and transmitting to the client information items associated with image forming inherent to the selected image forming apparatus (column 4, lines 12-17); receiving from the client user instructions corresponding to the information items associated with the selected image forming apparatus (column 8, lines 12-37), and sending an image forming execution instruction to the image forming apparatus (column 8, lines 12-37).

Sugishima differs from claims 34, 37, 40, and 43 in that he does not clearly disclose storing a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network.

Mitsuhashi discloses an information processing apparatus wherein a host (100) stores the virtual panel image of a printer (1500) and controls the setting of the operational display of the

Art Unit: 2622

printer (column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 49). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima by the teaching of Mitsuhashi in order to allow the user to easily monitor and display the printer panel settings on the host as disclosed by Mitsuhashi in column 2, lines 42-54.

With respect to claims 36, 39, 42, and 45, Sugishima discloses reporting the results of the image forming apparatuses partly satisfying the requirements in an order of decreasing number of information items satisfying the requirements (figure 4).

22. Claims 35, 38, 41, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugishima in view of Mitsuhashi as applied to claims 34, 37, 40, and 43 above, and further in view of Ooki (U. S. 5,991,846).

With respect to claims 35, 38, 41, and 44, Sugishima as modified differs from claims 35, 38, 41, and 44 in that he does not clearly disclose the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses.

Ooki discloses an information processing system wherein information pertaining to the location of printers is stored in a server (102) and displayed to a user (column 7, lines 4-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Art Unit: 2622

invention to have modified Sugishima as modified wherein the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima as modified by the teaching of Ooki in order to allow the user to easily select a preferable printer as discloses by Ooki in column 1, lines 55-58.

SECTION 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 23. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 24. Claims 18 -33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kageyama (U. S. 5,625,757) in view of Levine et al (Levine) (U. S. 5,726,883).

With respect to claims 18 and 26, Kageyama discloses a network control system comprising a computer network (figure 1); a plurality of image forming apparatuses (17 and 18) connected to the computer network (10), each image forming apparatus configured to record an image on a recording paper; a server (14, 15, or 16) connected to the network and configured to store information items of the plurality of image forming apparatuses (column 16, lines 54-67); a

Art Unit: 2622

computer (11, 12, or 13) connected to the network, comprising a computer display (figure 3) configured to display the information items stored in the server (column 16, line 54 to column 17, line 8), and an input device (keyboard) configured to input data into the computer, the computer configured to select the image forming apparatus for recording the image by an operator selecting the information items displayed (column 16, line 54 to column 17, line 8).

Kageyama differs from claims 18 and 26 in that he does not clearly disclose storing a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network.

Levine discloses a method of customizing control interfaces for devices on a network wherein a workstation (4) stores control panels for printers on the network (column 2, lines 49-61; column 4, lines 10-25 and column 6, line 32 to column 7, line 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Kageyama to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Kageyama by the teaching of Levine in order to selectively tailor the interface control panels and screen dialog to meet expected job requirements as disclosed by Levine in column 2, lines 25-28.

With respect to claims 19 and 27, Kageyama discloses the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses (column 29, lines 15-24).

Art Unit: 2622

With regard to claims 20, 21, 28, and 29, Kageyama discloses the information items include machine model, presence of specification data and existence of malfunctions in the image forming apparatus (column 16, line 54 to column 17, line 24).

With respect to claims 22-25 and 30-33, Kageyama discloses storing the layout of an operation panel for each image forming apparatus (column 34, line 66 to column 35, line 8).

- 25. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 26. Claims 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 31, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugishima (U. S. 5,768,516) in view of Levine.

With respect to claims 18 and 26, Sugishima discloses a network control system comprising a computer network (figure 1); a plurality of image forming apparatuses (11, 13, and 15) connected to the computer network (16), each image forming apparatus configured to record an image on a recording paper (column 3, lines 12-18); a server (which reads on a system management apparatus) (10) connected to the network and configured to store information items of the plurality of image forming apparatuses (column 4, lines 9-12); a computer (12) connected to the network, comprising a computer display (figure 1) configured to display the information items stored in the server (column 4, lines 9-33), and an input device (keyboard) configured to

Art Unit: 2622

input data into the computer, the computer configured to select the image forming apparatus for recording the image by an operator selecting the information items displayed (column 4, lines 12-17).

Sugishima differs from claims 18 and 26 in that he does not clearly disclose storing a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network.

Levine discloses a method of customizing control interfaces for devices on a network wherein a workstation (4) stores control panels for printers on the network (column 2, lines 49-61; column 4, lines 10-25 and column 6, line 32 to column 7, line 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima by the teaching of Levine in order to selectively tailor the interface control panels and screen dialog to meet expected job requirements as disclosed by Levine in column 2, lines 25-28.

With regard to claims 20 and 28, Sugishima discloses the information items include machine model, presence of specification data and existence of malfunctions in the image forming apparatus (column 8, lines 22-37).

Art Unit: 2622

With respect to claims 22, 24, 30, and 32, Sugishima differs from claims 22, 24, 30, and 32 in that he does not clearly disclose storing the layout of an operation panel for each image forming apparatus.

Levine discloses a method of customizing control interfaces for devices on a network wherein a workstation (4) stores control panels for printers on the network (column 2, lines 49-61; column 4, lines 10-25 and column 6, line 32 to column 7, line 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima by the teaching of Levine in order to selectively tailor the interface control panels and screen dialog to meet expected job requirements as disclosed by Levine in column 2, lines 25-28.

- 27. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 28. Claim 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugishima in view of Levine as applied to claims 18 and 26 above, and further in view of Ooki (U. S. 5,991,846).

Art Unit: 2622

With respect to claims 19 and 27, Sugishima as modified differs from claims 19 and 27 in that he does not clearly disclose the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses.

Ooki discloses an information processing system wherein information pertaining to the location of printers is stored in a server (102) and displayed to a user (column 7, lines 4-16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima as modified wherein the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima as modified by the teaching of Ooki in order to allow the user to easily select a preferable printer as discloses by Ooki in column 1, lines 55-58.

With regard to claims 21 and 29, Sugishima discloses the information items include machine model, presence of specification data and existence of malfunctions in the image forming apparatus (column 8, lines 22-37).

With respect to claims 23, 25, 31 and 33, Sugishima differs from claims 23, 25, 31, and 33 in that he does not clearly disclose storing the layout of an operation panel for each image forming apparatus.

Levine discloses a method of customizing control interfaces for devices on a network wherein a workstation (4) stores control panels for printers on the network (column 2, lines 49-61; column 4, lines 10-25 and column 6, line 32 to column 7, line 6). Therefore, it would have

Art Unit: 2622

Sugishima to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima by the teaching of Levine in order to selectively tailor the interface control panels and screen dialog to meet expected job requirements as disclosed by Levine in column 2, lines 25-28.

- 29. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 30. Claims 34-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kageyama in view of Levine.

With regard to claims 34, 37, 40, and 43, Kageyama discloses a server (300) connected to a network (10) having a client computer (11) and a plurality of image forming apparatuses (1), comprising storing means (7200) for storing information items associated with the image inherent to each of the image forming apparatuses; receiving means for receiving requirements for image forming from the client (column 16, lines 56-59); means for performing a search for image forming apparatuses satisfying the requirements from among the plural image forming apparatuses and researching the search to the client (column 16, lines 56-62); receiving a user selection from

Art Unit: 2622

the client for selecting one image forming apparatus based on the result (column 16, lines 61-64), and transmitting to the client information items associated with image forming inherent to the selected image forming apparatus (column 24, lines 16-35); receiving from the client user instructions corresponding to the information items associated with the selected image forming apparatus (column 34, lines 36-48), and sending an image forming execution instruction to the image forming apparatus (column 24, line 49 to column 25, line 10).

Kageyama differs from claims 34, 37, 40, and 43 in that he does not clearly disclose storing a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network.

Levine discloses a method of customizing control interfaces for devices on a network wherein a workstation (4) stores control panels for printers on the network (column 2, lines 49-61; column 4, lines 10-25 and column 6, line 32 to column 7, line 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Kageyama to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Kageyama by the teaching of Levine in order to selectively tailor the interface control panels and screen dialog to meet expected job requirements as disclosed by Levine in column 2, lines 25-28.

Art Unit: 2622

With respect to claims 35, 38, 41, and 44, Kageyama discloses including an installation location of the image forming apparatuses in the search result (column 23, lines 1-6 and column 29, lines 15-20).

With respect to claims 36, 39, 42, and 45, Kageyama discloses reporting the results of the image forming apparatuses partly satisfying the requirements in an order of decreasing number of information items satisfying the requirements (which reads on displaying the printers in accordance with the registration order) (column 22, line 59 to column 23, line 6, column 24, lines 26-35).

31. Claims 34, 36, 37, 39, 40, 42, 43, and 45 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugishima in view of Levine.

With regard to claims 34, 37, 40, and 43, Sugishima discloses a server (network management apparatus) connected to a network (16) having a client computer (12) and a plurality of image forming apparatuses (13 and 15), comprising storing means (figure 4) for storing information items associated with the image inherent to each of the image forming apparatuses; receiving means for receiving requirements for image forming from the client (column 1, lines 39-43); means for performing a search for image forming apparatuses satisfying the requirements from among the plural image forming apparatuses and researching the search to the client (column 1, lines 45-49); receiving a user selection from the client for selecting one image forming apparatus based on the result (column 4, lines 1-33), and transmitting to the client information

Art Unit: 2622

items associated with image forming inherent to the selected image forming apparatus (column 4, lines 12-17); receiving from the client user instructions corresponding to the information items associated with the selected image forming apparatus (column 8, lines 12-37), and sending an image forming execution instruction to the image forming apparatus (column 8, lines 12-37).

Sugishima differs from claims 34, 37, 40, and 43 in that he does not clearly disclose storing a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network.

Levine discloses a method of customizing control interfaces for devices on a network wherein a workstation (4) stores control panels for printers on the network (column 2, lines 49-61; column 4, lines 10-25 and column 6, line 32 to column 7, line 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima to store a graphic layout of an operation panel of all of the plurality of image forming apparatuses connected to the computer network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima by the teaching of Levine in order to selectively tailor the interface control panels and screen dialog to meet expected job requirements as disclosed by Levine in column 2, lines 25-28.

With respect to claims 36, 39, 42, and 45, Sugishima discloses reporting the results of the image forming apparatuses partly satisfying the requirements in an order of decreasing number of information items satisfying the requirements (figure 4).

Art Unit: 2622

32. Claims 35, 38, 41, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugishima in view of Levine as applied to claims 34, 37, 40, and 43 above, and further in view of Ooki (U. S. 5,991,846).

With respect to claims 35, 38, 41, and 44, Sugishima as modified differs from claims 35, 38, 41, and 44 in that he does not clearly disclose the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses.

Ooki discloses an information processing system wherein information pertaining to the location of printers is stored in a server (102) and displayed to a user (column 7, lines 4-16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima as modified wherein the information items include an installation location of the image forming apparatuses. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sugishima as modified by the teaching of Ooki in order to allow the user to easily select a preferable printer as discloses by Ooki in column 1, lines 55-58.

Response to Arguments

33. Applicant's arguments filed 3/12/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant submitted that the cited references do not disclose storing the graphic layout of an operational panel of all of the image forming apparatuses connected to a network. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Art Unit: 2622

Webb discloses a print system that allows a user to access a functional replica of the operational panel of a printer available to a host (column 3, lines 56-60), wherein a Printer Panel software program (51) stored within the program memory of the host (11) generates a replica of a printer control panel (column 7, lines 19-59) (which clearly indicates that the replica of the printer control panel is stored within the host).

34. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mark Wallerson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8581.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry)

(for informal or draft communications, such as proposed amendments to be discussed at an interview; please label such communications "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

or hand-carried to:

Crystal Park Two

2121 Crystal Drive

Application/Control Number: 09/438,515

Art Unit: 2622

Arlington. VA.

Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

MARK WALLERSON